DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

A Delaware Limited Liability Partnership 500 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047 (973) 360-1100

Attorneys for Defendants

Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (f/k/a Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc.

and Johnson & Johnson

IN RE: RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/

ZYPREXA LITIGATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

CASE NO. 274

THIS ORDER APPLIES TO:

Jonathan Wilder v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., :

Docket No. MID-L-1616-06

CIVIL ACTION

ORDER

RETURN DATE: February 5, 2010

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for Defendants Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (f/k/a Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc.) and Johnson & Johnson, to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to serve a Long Form Plaintiff Fact Sheet pursuant to Case Management Order No. 4A ("CMO 4A"), § III. D-E; such dismissals without prejudice being authorized by CMO 4A; the Court having considered the papers submitted; and the Court having heard the arguments of counsel, if arry; and for good cause shown;

IT IS ON THIS ______ day of February, 2010;

¹ Janssen L.P. has been canceled.

ORDERED that Defendants' motion is hereby GRANTED and that the above-captioned Complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice against Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson, who were erroneously designated in the Complaint as Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc., Janssen Pharmaceutica, L.P., and Johnson & Johnson Company pursuant to CMO 4A; and it is further

ORDERED that, in the event that Plaintiff fails to vacate this Order on or before April 6, 2010, Defendants may move for an Order of Dismissal with prejudice pursuant to the procedure set forth in R. 4:23-5(a)(2); and it is further

ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be posted for all counsel.

it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."

Jessica Mayer, J.S.C.

Unopposed

____ Opposed

NY01/7150368.1

DRDCPC force counsel for the definquent party is the construcion has on the client in the cook and which R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Counsel and the notice set forth in the counter that is A of the Counter Rules.

THAM LE COPY OF THIS DECISION OF THIS WITHIN OTHERS WITHIN

PLEASE MAIL A COPY OF THIS DEGISION
TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES WITHIN
TO ENYS OF THE DATE HEPEON